

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY OF COMMERCE
FOR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND DIRECTOR OF THE
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

NOTICE OF DECISIONS ON INSTITUTION

Before JOHN A. SQUIRES, *Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual
Property and Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office.*

NOTICE

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 314(a), after review of discretionary
considerations, institution of *inter partes* review is denied in the following
proceedings:

IPR2025-01456 ^{1,2}	IPR2025-01587	IPR2026-00039
IPR2025-01460	IPR2025-01590	IPR2026-00060
IPR2025-01489 ³	IPR2026-00020	IPR2026-00068
IPR2025-01536	IPR2026-00021	IPR2026-00086
IPR2025-01571	IPR2026-00033	

¹ Opinion forthcoming.

² Although previously referred, this case is now discretionarily denied in view of *Revvo Technologies, Inc. v. Cerebrum Sensor Technologies, Inc.*, IPR2025-00632, Paper 20 (Director Nov. 3, 2025) (precedential).

³ Although previously referred, this case is now discretionarily denied in view of *Revvo Technologies, Inc. v. Cerebrum Sensor Technologies, Inc.*, IPR2025-00632, Paper 20 (Director Nov. 3, 2025) (precedential).

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 314(a) and § 324(a), after review of discretionary considerations, the following proceedings will be reviewed for merits and non-discretionary considerations:

IPR2026-00034	IPR2026-00059	PGR2026-00006
IPR2026-00045	IPR2026-00070	PGR2026-00008

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 314(a), after review of the merits, the petitioner has failed to show a reasonable likelihood of prevailing with respect to at least one of the claims challenged in the petition. Accordingly, institution of *inter partes* review or post-grant review is denied in the following proceedings:

IPR2025-01313	IPR2025-01336
---------------	---------------

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 314(a) and § 324(a), after review of the merits, the petitioner has shown a reasonable likelihood of prevailing with respect to at least one of the claims challenged in the petition, or that it is more likely than not that at least one of the claims challenged in the petition is unpatentable, as applicable, and no other non-discretionary considerations warrant denial of institution. Accordingly, institution of *inter partes* review or post-grant review is granted in the following proceedings:

IPR2025-01309	IPR2025-01314	IPR2025-01462
IPR2025-01312	IPR2025-01315	PGR2025-00082